204820

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-I3378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 1:06

November 18,2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-I3378 . 1173

Dear **U.S.** Department of Transportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-I3378 needs to have changes made to a few sections. The first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. The second concern is if the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely, Mervin M Hoover

Mervin **Hoover**
380 Limestone Rd.
Milton, PA 17847

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 1:06

November 16,2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear U.S. Department of Transportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 needs to make changes to a few sections. The first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated.

Second if the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed immediately.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Bob & Dana Richardson

Bob and Dana's Wag N Tails
Bob and Dana Richardson
RR 5 Box 38
Unionville, MO 63565

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 1:06

Heim's Kennel
Roger and Jamie Heim
1151 Co. Rd. 1080
West Plains MO, MO 65775

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

November 17, 2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear U.S. Department of Transportation,

Docket No, FAA-2002-13378 needs to change to a few of its sections. My first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated

My second concern is if the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed immediately.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used *to* feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Roger H

Jamie J Heim

Leawood Kennels
Catherine J Leaming
20654 Cr 100
Jasper, MO 64755

DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 25 PM 1:05

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

November 16, 2002
RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear **U.S.** Department of "l'ransportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 needs to have a few changes made to some of its sections. The first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. Second, if the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next **week** to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Catherine Leaming

Patsy Ross
5104 Victory Rd.
Chetopa, KS 67336

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 1:06

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

November 18 2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear U.S. Department of Transportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 needs to have changes made to a few sections. My first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. My second concern is if the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be promptly removed.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog?

Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Patsy Ross

Westhoff Kennels
Chuck and Betty Westhoff
7250 Wallace Rd.
Saint Paul, KS 66771

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 1:06

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

November 16,2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear U.S. Department of Transportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 needs to have a few changes made to some of its sections. The first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. Second, if the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Betty Westhoff
Charles A Westhoff
(Chuck)

Four Paws
Pennie Channel
6210 Panda Rd.
Diamond, MO 64840

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 1:06

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

November 18,2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear U.S. Department of Transportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 needs changes made to a few sections. The first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated.

If the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed.

The third section **is** the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog'? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Pennie Channel

Melissa Pirtle
Rt2 Box250
Mansfield, MO 65704

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 1:06

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

November 16, 2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear U.S. Department of Transportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 needs changes made to a few sections. The first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. If the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Melissa C Pirtle

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 25 PM 1:06

November 17,2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear U.S. Department of Transportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 needs to change a few of its sections. My first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. My second concern is if the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Stanley Clark
1226 Rocklick Hollow Rd.
New Paris, PA **15554**

Petland #173 Chicago Bloomingdale
Adam Nadler
342-120 West Army Trail Rd.
Bloomingdale Court
Bloomingdale, IL 60108

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 1:06

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

November 17, 2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear **U.S.** Department of Transportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 needs to make changes to a few of its sections. The first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. Second, if the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Department for use as a police or drug dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Romeo's Zoo
Joseph Romeo
Pueblo **Mall**
32 13 **Dillon** Dr
Pueblo, **CO** **8** 1008-1005

DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 3:03

United **States** Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No, FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 40 1
Washington, DC 20590

November 16,2002

RE: Docket No. **FAA-2002-13378**

Dear US. Department of Transportation,

Docket No. **FAA-2002-1**3378 needs changes made to a few sections. The first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. If the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are made public, they may **be** placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups,

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and arc clearly considered as property under the law. **The** term "guardian" should be removed.

The third section is the ruling's mandate **that** the airline must determine the potential future **use** of **the** animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" **may** be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is **unknown.** Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such **as** a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to **a** private family or to a Police Department for use **as** a police or **drug** dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt **from** the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,

Joseph F. Romeo
Romeo's Zoo Inc

John Powell
4028 East B Rd.way #603
Phoenix, AZ 85040

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
02 NOV 26 PM 3:03

United States Department of Transportation Dockets
Docket No. FAA-2002-13378
400 Seventh Street South West
Room Plaza 401
Washington, DC 20590

November 16, 2002

RE: Docket No. FAA-2002-13378

Dear U.S. Department of Transportation,

Docket No. FAA-2002-13378 needs changes mode to a few sections. The first concern is that the privacy rights of both the shipper and receiver of the animals will be violated. If the names and addresses of private citizens and companies are mode public, they may be placed on mailing lists or targeted by different groups.

The second section is the use of the term "guardian" in describing the owner of an animal. Animals are bought, sold, and are clearly considered as property under the law. The term "guardian" should be removed.

The third section is the ruling's mandate that the airline must determine the potential future use of the animal. If the animal has been purchased by an individual consumer, then the designation as a "pet" may be made by the consumer. However, if the animal is being shipped to a commercial distributor or retailer, the final designation of the animal's use is unknown. Will the rat or mouse being shipped become a family "pet" or be used to feed a reptile, such as a snake? Will the German Shepard pup being commercially shipped to a retailer, be sold the next week to a private family or to a Police Deportment for use os a police or drug dog? Because of this, commercial sales and commercial shipping of animals should be exempt from the FAA ruling.

Sincerely,