



luanne@alumni.nmu.edu

08/07/2007 09:23 PM

To Group A-AND-R-DOCKET@EPA

cc

bcc

Subject Docket #EPA-HQ-OAR-2006-0888

EPA Administrator, Docket #EPA-HQ-OAR-2006-0888 Steve Johnson

Dear EPA Administrator, Docket #EPA-HQ-OAR-2006-0888 Johnson,

I was outraged to learn about EPA's proposed rule change that would undermine laws that protect air quality in "Class I" national parks, which are supposed to have the cleanest air in the country. How can you justify defying the law to allow greater emissions?

The prevention of significant deterioration program was designed to prevent air quality in Class I areas from getting worse. Yet EPA's rule would employ accounting gimmicks that open the door to more pollution. Changing this rule would degrade air in Class I areas--and have devastating effects on delicate ecosystems, wildlife, and visitor experience in national parks.

It is distressing to think that the "Protection" in EPA does not seem be relevant to the people in charge. Perhaps they are being influenced by money from outside sources instead of doing their job.

I urge you to abandon this proposal to change the rules on Class I air quality. Thank you for considering my views.

Sincerely,
LuAnne Crupi
146 Midway Dr
Negaunee, MI 49866