

A-2000-51  
II-F-2



Tim Smith  
12/05/01 02:29 PM


To: Amy\_L.\_Farrell@omb.eop.gov  
cc:  
Subject: Re: WRAP package

Yes.... that is the one I wanted to make sure you had..... you will see it referred to a lot in the table.

Tim Smith  
EPA/OAQPS Mail Code C504-02  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711  
Phone 919 541 4718  
Fax 919 541 5489

Overnight mail address:

EPA Mail Code C504-02  
109 TW Alexander Drive  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709  
Amy\_L.\_Farrell@omb.eop.gov



Amy\_L.\_Farrell@omb.eop.gov  
12/05/01 02:09 PM

To: Tim Smith/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc:  
Subject: Re: WRAP package

I have a supplemental dated June 1, 2001 -- it's 18 pages long. I assume that's everything.  
Amy

(Embedded  
image moved Smith.Tim@epamail.epa.gov  
to file: 12/05/2001 01:58:51 PM  
pic16140.pcx)

Record Type: Record

To: Amy L. Farrell/OMB/EOP@EOP  
cc:  
Subject: Re: WRAP package

Amy: I wanted to make sure that you have all of the relevant information from the WRAP. As I am putting together this table, I realize that some of our rulemaking is based on supplemental information

the WRAP sent us in June of this year, in addition to the October 2000 document. Do you have the supplemental papers as well?

Tim Smith  
EPA/OAQPS Mail Code C504-02  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711  
Phone 919 541 4718  
Fax 919 541 5489

Overnight mail address:

EPA Mail Code C504-02  
109 TW Alexander Drive  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Amy\_L.  
\_Farrell@omb.eop  
.gov  
Paisie/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Lydia

To: Tim Smith/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc: Joe

Wegman/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
Subject: Re: WRAP package

12/05/01 12:03  
PM

Hi Tim,  
A table would be most helpful. I thought I recalled from our discussion that there were some minor substantive changes. If that's not the case, let me know.  
If there were, please just note that in the table.  
Thanks!  
Amy

(Embedded  
image moved Smith.Tim@epamail.epa.gov  
to file: 12/05/2001 11:51:05 AM  
pic17604.pcx)

Record Type: Record

To: Amy L. Farrell/OMB/EOP@EOP  
cc: Paisie.Joe@epamail.epa.gov, Wegman.Lydia@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Re: WRAP package

I'll try and pull something together this afternoon... I would envision

perhaps a table that would note for each provision in the WRAP annex what we are doing with this proposal.... would that sort of thing help you?

We really didn't make changes to provisions in the WRAP package... some if it is fairly detailed and specific, and for some things we attempted to translate it in a way that was clearer...[for example, the adjustments to the milestones for future operating scenarios for copper smelters] .... for the provisions of the backstop trading program, we chose to not put all of the provisions of the WRAP's "model rule" into our rule, but rather to outline general descriptions of what we would be looking for in the 2003 SIP submittals...for example, we require that the backstop trading program must include allocations of allowances to each source, but we did not include all the WRAP's details on how to do these allocations....

Again, I will try and pull something together to help out your review but I want to make clear that we are not attempting to make any substantive changes to the WRAP's program....

Tim Smith  
EPA/OAQPS Mail Code C504-02  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711  
Phone 919 541 4718  
Fax 919 541 5489

Overnight mail address:

EPA Mail Code C504-02  
109 TW Alexander Drive  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Amy\_L.  
\_Farrell@omb.eop                   To:       Tim  
Smith/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
.gov                                   cc:  
Subject:       Re: WRAP  
package

12/05/01 11:25  
AM

Thanks Tim,  
Sorry for the confusion. I misread your email to say that you all had made changes since submitting the official version to us.

During our initial conversation about the package, we talked a bit about the package the WRAP submitted and asked about any differences. I have both packages in hand and have actually been through much of the WRAP submittal, but I'd like to get your input to help expedite the review. I can't recall

from the  
conversation if you have any documentation that summarizes or lists the  
differences between the two packages, but such a summary would be quite  
useful.  
If you could forward something of that nature on or throw something  
together,  
that would be great. Please send me an email if you have any questions.  
Thanks,  
Amy

(Embedded  
image moved Smith.Tim@epamail.epa.gov  
to file: 12/04/2001 10:08:57 AM  
pic18306.pcx)

Record Type: Record

To: Amy L. Farrell/OMB/EOP@EOP  
cc:  
Subject: Re: WRAP package

Here is file .... let me know if you need anything more

(See attached file: file sent to Amy Farrell assume the version in  
stuart email is identical to that emailed to OMB.wpd)

Tim Smith  
EPA/OAQPS Mail Code C504-02  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711  
Phone 919 541 4718  
Fax 919 541 5489

Overnight mail address:

EPA Mail Code C504-02  
109 TW Alexander Drive  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Amy\_L.  
\_Farrell@omb.eop  
Smith/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
.gov

To: Tim  
cc:  
Subject: Re: WRAP

package

12/03/01 05:36  
PM

Hi Tim,  
Yes, I believe it was logged in on Friday. Please email the revisions ASAP.  
Thanks,  
Amy

(Embedded  
image moved Smith.Tim@epamail.epa.gov  
to file: 12/03/2001 05:29:02 PM  
pic31965.pcx)

Record Type: Record

To: Amy L. Farrell/OMB/EOP@EOP  
cc:  
Subject: Re: WRAP package

Wanted to see if you have "official" version. There have been a few changes to the executive order discussions in this version. My understanding is this went electronically to OMB on November 29.

Tim Smith  
EPA/OAQPS Mail Code C504-02  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711  
Phone 919 541 4718  
Fax 919 541 5489

Overnight mail address:

EPA Mail Code C504-02  
109 TW Alexander Drive  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Amy\_L.  
\_Farrell@omb.eop  
Smith/RTP/USEPA/US@EPA  
.gov  
11/20/01 07:04  
PM

To: Tim  
cc:  
Subject: WRAP package

Hi Tim -

I just wanted to drop you a note to let you know that I haven't forgotten about the WRAP package. I will hopefully get to it Friday of this week or Monday of next and will then follow-up with you.  
Thanks,  
Amy

(See attached file: pic31965.pcx)

(See attached file: file sent to Amy Farrell assume the version in stuart email is identical to that emailed to OMB.wpd) (See attached file: pic31965.pcx) (See attached file: pic18306.pcx)

(See attached file: file sent to Amy Farrell assume the version in stuart email is identical to that emailed to OMB.wpd) (See attached file: pic31965.pcx) (See attached file: pic18306.pcx) (See attached file: pic17604.pcx)

7/8€%005/8 Lf5/8-NL N1 "N°Rs O1/3[F-R5/8%00%0 1/3L-F-V-TN°5/8 NL°5/8 ⊕5/8[F-R-L-€1- HT€1/81/4²Qn²

HT€1/8²Q1/4²nR HT€1/8²QnaQPR HT€1/8²n²Q²aPR